Senior Investigation Officer Environmental Standards Scotland Ìrean Àrainneachdail na h-Alba





26 January 2023

Dear ,

Thank you for submitting your representation to Environmental Standards Scotland (ESS), dated 16 January 2023 in respect of NatureScot's decision to grant a license for out-of-season and night time culling of deer on the John Muir Trust land at

Having carefully considered what you have submitted, I note that your concerns relate predominantly to NatureScot's decision making processes. For example, you have told us that regulations have not been followed and that local communities have not been adequately consulted. You also question some of the evidence taken into account by NatureScot, such as the amount of woodland area on the estate in question and the reasons for the lack of woodland area on

The outcome you seek is that, in the future, NatureScot conducts detailed consultation with all local communities, including sharing the rationale for their action and giving sensible reasons for why there is no alternative.

Following an initial assessment of the information provided you have indicated that you have not contacted NatureScot regarding this matter. As noted in the "How to Raise a Concern" page of our website and within our representation form, it is ESS' policy that "we normally do not take action until the relevant public authority has had the opportunity to respond to your concerns or you have tried to resolve your concerns through other available mechanisms."

The reason for our approach is that it is generally more efficient and effective to try and

resolve matters at the closest point to the dispute; therefore it is important that the public

authority concerned first has the opportunity to resolve the matter.

It is also important to note that ESS is not an appeal or complaints body for individual

decisions taken by public authorities in the exercise of their regulatory functions in relation to

a particular person or case (for example, a decision on an application for a licence or a

decision on regulatory enforcement in a specific case). This is to prevent overlap with other

existing appeal and complaint bodies which are responsible for dealing with concerns

relating to individual cases. Given that we are unable to overturn the individual licensing

decisions of NatureScot, we are unable to consider the specific factors taken into account by

NatureScot or how they balanced the evidence before reaching their decision.

I do note a potential alternate remedy that is open to you to pursue. Unlike ESS, the Scottish

Public Services Ombudsman (SPSO) can review individual cases and decisions by public

authorities. SPSO's role is to consider complaints of maladministration, which covers failure

to follow due process or law.

Similar to ESS, the SPSO would require you to have first raised a complaint with the public

authority before they would consider your case. Further information on SPSO's role can be

found at https://www.spso.org.uk/spso.

In summary, for the following reasons I have decided that ESS can take no action:

• It does not appear that NatureScot have been given the opportunity to resolve your

concerns;

We have no power to overturn the decision to issue the deer culling licence;

An alternative body exists to consider your concerns about NatureScot's decision

making process.

I appreciate you may find it disappointing we cannot progress investigation work in response

to your representation. If, following making a formal complaint and appeal to SPSO, you feel

there are residual issues, I would welcome you to contact ESS again.

Environmental Standards Scotland Enquiries

In the meantime, should you have any queries regarding any of the above please do not hesitate to contact me.
Yours sincerely,
Senior Investigations Officer